

INSTRUCTOR NOTES

Accelerating reference frames: Inertial “force” and local acceleration due to gravity

Emphasis

This tutorial is the first of a series of three on accelerating reference frames. The emphasis here is on frames whose origins move with translational acceleration relative to an inertial frame. The tutorial introduces students to and guides them to apply the concepts inertial “force” and “local acceleration due to gravity.”

Prerequisites

It is recommended, though not required, that students have had lecture instruction on fictitious “forces” and accelerating reference frames. This tutorial, however, may be used before such lectures as a way to introduce the subject.

TUTORIAL PRETEST

Students consider a cart that launches a ball perpendicular to the base of the cart. The students are asked to predict in each of three possible situations whether the ball, after having launched from the cart, drops back into the launcher, falls in front of the launcher, or falls behind it. The first situation involves constant velocity along a horizontal tabletop. The second situation involves constant acceleration by using the cart to make a modified Atwood’s machine. In the third (and most challenging) scenario the cart accelerates down a smooth incline (with frictional effects and the size of the wheels ignored).

The first two tasks can be used to provide a baseline of student understanding of projectile motion from introductory mechanics. The third task, however, which can be solved in a relatively straightforward manner by considering the motion of the launched ball in the frame of the cart, probes the ability of students to recognize this fact and carry out the (qualitative) analysis.

TUTORIAL SESSION

Equipment and handouts

Each group will need a whiteboard and set of markers, or a large sheet of paper. Each student will need a copy of the tutorial handout (no special handouts required).

Discussion of tutorial worksheet

Section I: Inertial “force”

In this section the terms inertial “force” and local acceleration due to gravity are motivated by considering the motion of a container of water sliding frictionlessly down a long, straight incline. The container also has attached a plumb line. For the case in which the plumb bob does not move in the rest frame of the container, students are expected to use their knowledge of the acceleration $|\vec{A}| = g \sin \theta$ of the container down the incline, the known (actual) gravitational force on the bob, and the inertial “force” $\vec{F}'_{inertial} = -m\vec{A}$ on the bob to infer that the tension force by the string must point perpendicular to the incline. Students also infer that the surface of the water inside the container, being perpendicular to the plumb line, must be oriented parallel to the surface of the incline. These results motivate the idea of local acceleration due to gravity, $\vec{g}' = \vec{g} - \vec{A}$, as a combination of the effects of the actual gravitational force and inertial “force” as viewed in the accelerating frame.

As this situation may be the first in which the students must actively analyze forces, both real and fictitious, encourage students to label clearly the forces exerted on the plumb bob, so as to distinguish which forces are real (*e.g.*, have actual agents) and which are fictitious. Students will usually have little difficulty recognizing that in the non-inertial frame of the container there are

Instructor notes

Accelerating reference frames: Inertial “force” and local acceleration due to gravity

three forces (two real and one fictitious) on the plumb bob that must add to zero, however some may stumble in drawing the free-body diagram for the bob. Ask these students which of the three forces are fully known—they should recognize that the tension force is the only unknown and hence must be inferred from the other two. At the checkpoint at the end of the section, make sure students can articulate their reasoning and give a correct explanation in comparing the magnitudes of the actual and local accelerations due to gravity in the container frame, *i.e.*, that g' is less than g .

Section II: Application: Launch cart on a ramp

In this section students revisit the third task from the pretest, in which a launch cart glides down a long, straight incline. Students should be able to apply their results from section I in order to conclude that, in the absence of friction between the incline and the cart (and neglecting the rotational motion of the wheels of the cart), the ball should drop back into the launcher.

In part A students are asked to draw accurate free-body diagrams for both the cart and the launched ball as viewed in the frame of the cart, and most of the errors that arise in this section arise in the drawing of these diagrams. Expect many students to have difficulty deciding whether to attribute an inertial “force” to the ball after it leaves contact with the launch cart. It may help to ask students about a simpler situation, for example, observing a hummingbird that hovers above a point above the ground while viewing it from an accelerating train. Students should recognize that any object, whether or not is in contact with the launch cart (or the aforementioned train), must be attributed an inertial “force” by any observer who is at rest in the non-inertial frame.

TUTORIAL HOMEWORK

The homework gives students the opportunity to apply and extend their results from the tutorial. Some of the applications are qualitative, others are more quantitative.

In addition, Problems 2–5 include situations in which the origin of an accelerating frame moves with uniform circular motion, not acceleration along a straight line, relative to an inertial frame. These problems may be assigned immediately after this tutorial because in each case the relevant object remains at rest as observed in the non-inertial frame of interest. Alternatively, these problems may be assigned after all instruction (including other tutorials) on rotating frames.

1. Students revisit the scenario from tutorial in which a launch cart glides down a long, straight ramp, however students must take into account friction between the cart and the incline.
2. This problem asks students to give qualitative comparisons between actual and local acceleration due to gravity in two different non-inertial frames. One such frame accelerates in a straight line relative to an inertial frame; the other moves with uniform circular motion.
3. Students analyze forces (real and fictitious) in the frame of a car moving with constant speed around a circular track.
4. Students examine qualitatively the forces (real and fictitious) on a plumb bob that is at rest relative to an Earthbound reference frame. They infer from their results how the shape of the Earth must differ from that of a perfect sphere.
5. Finally, students apply the concept of local acceleration due to gravity to determine quantitatively the shape of the surface of a liquid in a cylindrical container spinning at constant angular speed.